When Teamwork is the Best Way

A multidisciplinary approach to a patient’s surgery and prosthodontic rehabilitation.

By Robert Schneider, DDS, FACP, CDT (honorary) and Danny Roberts, CDT

Removal and restoration of oral/dental tumors require multidisciplinary treatment planning.

Today’s CAD/CAM technology and advances in dental technology frequently allow the successful and predictable treatment outcome for the patient.1-4

Patient Treatment

A 43 year old female was referred to the maxillofacial prosthodontic clinic prior to the definitive treatment of a right mandibular swelling previously diagnosed via biopsy as an ameloblastoma. An ameloblastoma is a non-malignant lesion which can be rapidly enlarging and destructive to the bone and teeth. Mounted diagnostic casts were fabricated for evaluation and as a reference source following the extractions and tumor removal. The patient stated she would...
prefer a fixed restoration if possible following the surgery which was discussed and would be implant retained following an adequate healing period (Figures 1-3).

Teeth No. 23-31 were extracted and the sites allowed to heal prior to removal of the tumor to allow adequate soft tissue coverage of the area. An acrylic resin removable partial denture was fabricated for the patient to wear to minimize drift and movement of her remaining dentition. The plan for an en-bloc surgical resection of the mandible from the left parasymphysis to the right mandibular mid body. The area was to be reconstructed with a pre bent reconstruction plate with the use of a stereolithic medical model (Medical Modeling Inc.) made with a maxillofacial CT with 3D reconstruction and bilateral hip iliac crest grafts, which was completed uneventfully.

Following an adequate healing period mounted diagnostic casts were made and discussion held with the dental laboratory technician that would be fabricating the definitive prosthesis. A diagnostic wax-up was completed utilizing the patient’s previous dental condition/casts to fabricate a relatively non-restrictive surgical guide for implant placement. A concern is the placement of the reconstruction bar retaining screws so implant placement potentially is compromised. The decision was made that four dental implants would provide adequate support for a screw retained fixed partial denture processed in injection molded/heat polymerized acrylic resin. A porcelain fused to metal restoration could also be fabricated, however the author prefers processed acrylic resin for this type of reconstruction due to ease of adjustment, reparability, lack of abrasiveness to the opposing occlusion, relative ease of fabrication and the possibility of recurrence of the ameloblastoma requiring removal/ modification of the prosthesis.

The guide was fabricated and the implants placed (Thommen Medical).

Robert Schneider, DDS, and Danny Roberts, CDT, share a multidisciplinary approach to a patient’s surgery and prosthodontic rehabilitation utilizing CAD/CAM designed and milled custom components and the fabrication of the definitive CAD/CAM prosthesis framework.

The patient was allowed to heal for three months before making final impressions (Figure 4). The abutments were placed (SPI Vario Multi, Thommen Medical) to bring the level of the implant above the soft tissues for improved soft tissue health and optimize the oral hygiene procedures for the patient (Figures 5 and 6). Final abutment level impressions were made with a custom tray and polyvinyl siloxane impression material to be mounted on the articulator with a facebow.
A verification index was fabricated in the laboratory for intraoral try-in to confirm the accuracy of the master cast. Following the verification process the dental laboratory completed the accurate tooth arrangement on the master cast trying to as closely as possible duplicate the patient’s pre-surgery tooth position (Figures 7 and 8). Following confirmation of accuracy of the wax prosthetic tooth arrangement by the prosthodontist and the patient, the wax-up and master cast was sent to a scanning/milling facility (Cagenix, Memphis, TN) to fabricate the prosthesis substructure with the design selected by the prosthodontist and the technician. A wax try-in can also be completed at this stage, however the author has not requested this step from the laboratory for several years due to the verification index confirmation. The prosthodontist requested a high-water design for this patient to help facilitate oral hygiene procedures.

Several basic design concepts are available and can be utilized and modified (Figure 9). An advantage to this approach is the CAD design is performed at the scanning facility via a double scan. Both the wax-up and master cast are scanned so that the design, predetermined by the sending laboratory technician can be reviewed and modifications made before the final milling process. The technician and the prosthodontist can both evaluate the design with a 3D viewing program that allows evaluation from any angle with or without the tooth overlay (Figures 10-13). This allows critical analysis of the framework design to provide satisfactory support/retention and thickness of material of the final prosthesis.

**Figure 7** (above)
Frontal view of wax-up on articulator.

**Figure 8** (right)
Occlusal view of wax-up duplicating the patient’s pre-surgery occlusion.

**Figure 9** (above)
Various basic CAD/CAM designs from Cagenix utilizing either Hader bar, Dolder bar, Y design, screw retained Locator and fixed hybrid design that can be modified by the technician and dentist prior to milling.

**Figure 10** (above)
3D view of wax-up over the proposed framework design.

**Figure 11** (below)
3D wax-up removed to view the framework design directly.
When the final framework design is satisfactory to both the prosthodontist and the technician the milling process is completed using type IV titanium. The final prosthesis can then be opaqued, if desired to block out the grayness of the metal and the prosthetic teeth arranged and processed utilizing an injection molded technique which helps eliminate stress/potential distortion to the framework (Ivoclar Vivadent). When the polymerization and deflasking process is complete the prosthesis can then be finished a routine manner and returned for delivery (Figure 14-19).

At completion the prosthesis was delivered from the laboratory for insertion. The healing caps were removed and the prosthesis went to place passively. The occlusion checked and very minor adjustments made with a remount procedure. The patient was given oral

Figure 12 (above)  
3D occlusal view with a section of the wax-up removed to more accurately access tooth position to the framework.

Figure 13 (below)  
3D section removed facial-lingual to evaluate clearance of Y framework to the prosthetic teeth. Electronic modifications can be made to the framework at this time to provide optimal support for the prosthetic teeth prior to milling.

Figure 14 (above)  
Final milled Y framework design on master cast.

Figure 15 (right)  
Completed wax-up on the framework prior to processing.

Figure 16 (left)  
Wax-up sprued and partially invested prior to injection of acrylic resin.

Figure 17 (below)  
Prosthesis deflasked prior to removal of the sprues.

Figure 18 (below)  
Occlusal view of finished and polished prosthesis.

Figure 19 (right)  
Gingival view of finished and polished prosthesis. This is the desired design for a so called high water pontic design to allow for optimal hygiene with uniform convex surface contours and a high polish.
hygiene instructions and the appropriate hygiene instruments were provided to her (Figure 20-22). A delivery radiograph was taken to verify accuracy of fit of the framework and to serve as a baseline evaluation for alveolar bone levels around the implants (Figure 23).

The use of a multi-disciplinary approach can lead to a very predictable outcome for the reconstruction of oral tumors. The dental technician is a very integral member of the team and must have an in-depth knowledge of dental implants, surgical outcomes, dental biomaterials, esthetics, occlusion and CAD/CAM procedures. Advancements in the CAD/CAM treatment procedures for our patients is increasing rapidly requiring continuous education and participation in continuing education courses, attended by all members of the implant/reconstruction team. 
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**Figure 20**
Frontal intra oral view of prosthesis as delivered.

**Figure 21**
Close up view of characterized acrylic resin, illustrating very intimate fit of the milled CAD/CAM framework. These frameworks are milled to a 10 micron accuracy.

**Figure 22**
Patient’s smile with completed fixed prosthesis.

**Figure 23**
Delivery radiograph with prosthesis in place. Note intimate radiographic fit of framework and maintenance of alveolar levels around the implants.
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